

Fixed Points of Expansion Mappings in Fuzzy Menger Spaces with CLR's Property

S. D. Diwan

A. K. Thakur

Hiral Raja

Abstract – In this paper, we prove existence of unique common fixed point for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings using common limit in range property in fuzzy menger spaces. Our result generalizes several known results of Menger spaces as well as metric spaces.

Keywords – Fuzzy Menger Space, Non-Surjective Mappings, Weakly Compatible Mappings, Expansion Mappings, Property (E.A.) CLR's Property.

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of metric space in which notion of distance appears was introduced by Ferchet [3], where for any two points in the space, there is defined a positive number called the distance between the two points. However, in practice we find very often that this association of a single number for each pair is strictly speaking an over-idealization. Therefore, Menger [8] introduced the notion of a probabilistic metric space in 1942 (briefly PM space) as a generalization of metric space. Since then the theory of probabilistic metric spaces has developed in many directions [12]. The idea of Menger was to use distribution functions instead of non-negative real numbers as values of the metric. The notion of a probabilistic metric space corresponds to situations when we do not know exactly the distance between two points, but we know probabilities of possible values of this distance. A probabilistic generalization of metric spaces appears to be interest in the investigation of physical quantities and physiological thresholds. It is also of fundamental importance in probabilistic functional analysis.

Banach contraction principle [1] is an important tool in the field of fixed point theory. Due to its simplicity and usefulness, it became a very popular tool in solving existence problems in pure and applied science such as biology, medicine, physics and computer science. Probabilistic contraction were first defined and studied by Sehgal [12]. Banach contraction principle [1] also yields a fixed point theorems for a diametrically opposite class of mapping, which was expansion mapping. The study of metrical fixed point theorem for expansion mapping is initiated by wang et al. [17]. There after pant et al. [10] studied fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in framework of probabilistic metric spaces and so many authors (see [2], [4], [12], [14], [16]) worked along this direction. Shrivastav et al. [15] given the definition of fuzzy probabilistic metric space and proved fixed point theorem in such spaces.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 A fuzzy probabilistic metric space (FPM space) is an ordered pair (X, F_α) consisting of a nonempty set X and a mapping F_α from $X \times X$ into the collection of all fuzzy distribution function $F_\alpha \in R$ for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$. For $x, y \in X$ we denote the fuzzy distribution function $F_\alpha(x,y)$ by $F_{\alpha(x,y)}$ and $F_{\alpha(x,y)}(u)$ is the value of $F_{\alpha(x,y)}$ at u in R .

The function $F_{\alpha(x,y)}$ for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$ assumed to satisfy the following conditions.

- (a) $F_{\alpha(x,y)}(u) = 1 \forall u > 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (b) $F_{\alpha(x,y)}(0) = 0 \forall x, y$ in X ,
- (c) $F_{\alpha(x,y)} = F_{\alpha(y,x)} \forall x, y$ in X ,
- (d) If $F_{\alpha(x,y)}(u) = 1$ and $F_{\alpha(y,z)}(v) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow F_{\alpha(x,z)}(u+v) = 1 \forall x, y, z$
 $\in X$ and $u, v > 0$

Definition 2.2 A commutative associative and non-decreasing mapping $t: [0, 1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a t-norm if and only if $t(a,1) = a \forall a \in [0,1]$, $t(0,0) = 0$ and $t(c,d) \geq t(a,b)$ for $c \geq a, d \geq b$.

Definition 2.3 A Fuzzy Menger space is a triplet (X, F_α, t) where (X, F_α) is a FPM-space, t is a t-norm and generalized triangle inequality.

$$F_{\alpha(x,z)}(u+v) \geq t(F_{\alpha(x,y)}(u), F_{\alpha(y,z)}(v))$$

Holds for all x, y, z in X . $u, v > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

The concept of neighborhoods in fuzzy Menger space is introduced as.

Definition 2.4 Let (X, F_α, t) be a Fuzzy Menger space. if $x \in X, \epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ then (ϵ, λ) neighborhood of x called $U_x(\epsilon, \lambda)$ is defined by

$$U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X, : F_{\alpha(x,y)}(\epsilon) > (1 - \lambda)\}$$

An (ϵ, λ) -topology in X is the topology induced by the family $\{U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0, \alpha \in [0,1] \text{ and } \lambda \in (0,1)\}$ of neighborhood.

Remark: If t is continuous, then Fuzzy Menger space (X, F_α, t) is a Housdroff space in (ϵ, λ) -topology.

Let (X, F_α, t) be a complete fuzzy Menger space and $A \subset X$. Then A is called a bounded set if

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} F_{\alpha(x,y)}(u) = 1$$

Definition 2.5 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F_α, t) is said to be convergent to a point x in X if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists an integer $N=N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $x_n \in U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) \forall n \geq N$ or equivalently $F_\alpha(x_n, x; \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n \geq N$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

Definition 2.6 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F_α, t) is said to be Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists an integer $N=N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$ $F_\alpha(x_n, x_m; \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 2.7 A fuzzy Menger Space (X, F_α, t) with continuous t-norm is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Following lemmas are selected from [8] and [12] respectively in fuzzy Menger space.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Fuzzy Menger space (X, F_α, t) with continuous t-norm $*$ and $t * t \geq t$. if there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $F_{\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})}(kt) \geq F_{\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)}(t)$ for all $t > 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2.2 Let (X, F_α, t) be a Fuzzy Menger space. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$F_{\alpha(x,y)}(kt) \geq F_{\alpha(x,y)}(t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $t > 0$ and then $x=y$.

Definition 2.8 [5] A pair (A, S) of self mapping of a non-empty set X is said to be weakly compatible (or Coincidentally commuting) if they commute at their coincidence points, that is if $Az = Sz$ some $z \in X$ then $ASz = SAz$.

Two compatible self maps are weakly compatible, but the converse is not true. Therefore the concept of weak compatibility is more general than that of compatibility.

Definition 2.9. A pair (A, S) of self mapping of Fuzzy Menger space (X, F_α, t) is said to satisfy the property (E. A), if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Definition 2.10. A pair (A, S) of self mappings of Fuzzy Menger space (X, F_α, t) is said to satisfy the common limit in range of S (in short CLR_S property), if there exists a sequences $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = Su \text{ for some } u \in X.$$

III. MAIN RESULT

Now we prove our main result

Theorem 3.1. Let A, B, S and T be four self mappings of a fuzzy Menger space (X, F_α, t) . Suppose that

(3.1) (A, S) satisfies CLR_S property or (B, T) satisfies CLR_T property;

(3.2) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;

(3.3) (A, S) and (B, T) are weak compatible;

(3.4) One of the range of the mapping A, B, S or T is a closed subspace of X ;

(3.5) There exist a constant $k > 1$ such that

$$F_{\alpha(Ax, By)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Sx, Ty)}(t)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $t > 0$.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: - If the pair (A, S) satisfies the CLR_S property, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z, \text{ where } z \in S(X).$$

Therefore, there exists a point u in X such that $z = Su$

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$Ax_n = Ty_n. \text{ Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z$$

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z$$

Now we are required to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = z$. Putting $x = x_n$ and $y = y_n$ in (3.5) we get

$$F_{\alpha(Ax_n, By_n)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Sx_n, Ty_n)}(t)$$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = l \neq z$ then taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$F_{\alpha(z, l)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(z, z)}(t) = 1,$$

for all $t > 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $k > 1$.

By Lemma 2.2, we have $z=l$, thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = z$.

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = z = Su$$

for some $u \in X$.

Now we claim that $Au = Su$. For this purpose putting $x=u$ and $y = y_n$ in (3.5), we have

$$F_{\alpha(Au, By_n)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Su, Ty_n)}(t),$$

taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we gets

$F_{\alpha(Au, Su)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Su, Tu)}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $k > 1$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$Au = Su. \text{ Hence } Au = Su = z.$$

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ there exists a point v in X such that $Au = Tv = z$.

Next we claim that $Tv = Bu$, putting $x=u$ and $y = v$ in (3.5), we have

$$F_{\alpha(Au, Bv)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Su, Tv)}(t),$$

or

$$F_{\alpha(Au, Bv)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(z, z)}(t),$$

for all $t > 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $k > 1$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $Au = Bv$. Hence $Au = Tv = Bv = z$.

Since the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible also $Au = Su$ and $Bv = Tv$, we have

$$Az = ASu = SAu = Sz \text{ and } Bz = BTv = TBv = Tz$$

Now, we prove that z is common fixed point of A, B, S and T . Putting $x=z$ and $y=v$ in (3.5), we have

$$F_{\alpha(Az, Bv)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Sz, Tv)}(t)$$

or

$$F_{\alpha(Az, z)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Az, z)}(t)$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have $Az = z$. Hence $Az = Sz = z$. Similarly we can prove that $Bz = Tz = z$. Thus z is common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

For uniqueness, suppose $z \neq w$ is another fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Then using (3.5), we have

$$F_{\alpha(Az, Bw)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Sz, Tw)}(t)$$

or

$$F_{\alpha(z, w)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(z, w)}(t).$$

Appealing to Lemma 2.2, it follows that $z=w$. This completes the proof.

The proof is similar if we assume that one of the subspace $B(X), S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is closed.

Remark 3.6. The conclusion of theorem 3.1 remains true if we replace (3.5) by one of the following: for all $k > 1, x, y > 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $t > 0$

$$(3.6)$$

$$F_{\alpha(Ax, By)}(kt) \leq$$

$$\min \{F_{\alpha(Sx, Ty)}(t), F_{\alpha(Ax, Sx)}(t), F_{\alpha(By, Ty)}(t)\}$$

$$(3.7) (F_{\alpha(Ax, By)}(kt))^2 \leq F_{\alpha(Ax, Sx)}(t), F_{\alpha(By, Ty)}(t)$$

By setting $A = B$ and $S = T$ in Theorem 3.1, we can obtain a natural result for a pair of self mappings.

Corollary 3.1. Let A and S be two self mapping of a Fuzzy Menger space (X, F_{α}, t) . Suppose that

$$(3.10) S(X) \subseteq A(X);$$

(3.11) (A, S) satisfies the CLR_S property;

(3.12) one the range of the mapping A or S is a closed subspace of X

(3.13) There exists a constant $k > 1$ such that

$$F_{\alpha(Ax, Ay)}(kt) \leq F_{\alpha(Sx, Sy)}(t)$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $t > 0$.

Then A and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

IV. CONCLUSION

Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of some results in the sense it proved for non-surjective mapping under weak compatibility which is more general than compatibility and without any requirement of completeness of the whole space and continuity of involved mappings.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les operations ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [2] R. C. Dimri, B. D. Pant and S. Kumar, Fixed point of a pair of non-surjective expansion mappings in Menger spaces, *Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Matematica Universitatea Bacau*, 18 (2008), 55-62.
- [3] M. Frechet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, *Rendic. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 22 (1906), 1- 74.
- [4] R. K. Gujatiya, V. K. Gupta, M. S. Chauhan and O. Sikhwal, Common fixed point theorem for expansive maps in Menger spaces through compatibility, *Int. Math. Forum*, 5(63) (2010), 3147- 3158.
- [5] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9(4) (1986), 771-779.
- [6] I. Kubiacyk and S. Sharma, Some common fixed point theorems in Menger space under strict contractive conditions, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 32 (2008), 117-124.
- [7] S. Kumar and B. D. Pant, A common fixed point theorem for expansion mappings in probabilistic metric spaces, *Ganita*, 57(1) (2006), 89-95.
- [8] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 28 (1942), 535-537.
- [9] S. N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, *Math. Japon.*, 36 (1991), 283{289}.
- [10] B. D. Pant, R. C. Dimri and S. L. Singh, Fixed point theorems for expansion mapping on probabilistic metric spaces, *Honam Math. J.*, 9(1) (1987), 77-81.
- [11] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability Applied Mathematics. North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, (1983).
- [12] V. M. Sehgal, Some fixed point theorems in functional analysis and probability, Ph. D. Dissertation, Wayne State Univ., (1966).
- [13] B. Singh and S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger Space through weak compatibility, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 301 (2005), 439-448.
- [14] S. L. Singh and B. D. Pant, Common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces and extension to uniform spaces, *Honam Math. J.*, 6 (1984), 1-12.
- [15] R. Shrivastav, V. Patel and V. B. Dhagat, "Fixed point theorem in fuzzy menger spaces satisfying occasionally weakly compatible mappings" *Int. J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls.*, Vol.6 No. VI, (2012), 243- 250.

- [16] R. Vasuki, Fixed point and common fixed point theorems for expansive maps in Menger spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 83 (1991), 565-570.
- [17] S. Z. Wang, B. Y. Li, Z. M. Gao and K. Iseki, Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings, *Math. Japon.*, 29 (1984), 631-636.

AUTHORS' PROFILES



S. D. Diwan, born in July 1973 in Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India, has received his M.Sc. in Mathematics from Pt Ravi Shankar University 1998. He possesses an experience of more than 14 years in the field of teaching and research. He is a life member of many Research agencies. He has published seven papers in International & National Journals and International Conferences. His research interest includes His main research interests are in fixed point theorems, fuzzy set theory, image processing, soft-computing techniques and pattern recognition. He is presently working as Assistant professor in the department of Mathematics at Department of Mathematics, Sant Guru Ghasidas Govt. P. G. College, Kurud, Dhamtari, 493663, India



A. K. Thakur, received his Ph.D. in mathematics. He is currently working as Associate Professor in School of Engineering Dr. C V RAMAN University. He has published many papers in International and National Journals and Conferences. He has also reviewed many papers in International Journals. His main research interests are in fixed point theorems, fuzzy set theory, image processing, soft-computing techniques and pattern recognition. He has published seven papers in International & National Journals and International Conferences.



Hiral Raja, born in June 1986 in Raipur, Raipur district, Chhattisgarh, India, has received his M.Sc. degree from Chhattisgarh college, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India. And pursuing his PhD in Mathematics from Dr. CVRAMAN University. He possesses an experience of three years in the field of teaching. He has published many papers in National and International Journals and Conferences. His current research interests include Face Recognition using Soft-computing techniques. He is presently working as a Assistant Professor, in the department of Mathematics at SSTC, SSGI, FET Bhilai, Chhattisgarh, India.