

Common Fixed Point Theorem in for Tree Maps

Ganesh Kumar Soni

Department of Mathematics
Govt. P.G. College, Narsinghpur (M.P.) India

Abstract – In this paper, we have proved fixed point theorem for three maps.

Keyword – Complete Metric Space, Pair of Maps, Common Point, Fixed Point.

I. INTRODUCTION

Common Fixed Point: Let S and T be a pair of maps of a metric space (X,d) then z is called common fixed point of S and T if $Sz = Tz = z$ and if S, P and T be three maps of metric space (X,d) then z is called a common fixed point of S, P and T if $Sz = Pz = Tz = z$.

A great deal of work on common fixed point have been done by Kannan [1] Gupta and Shrivastav [2] Jungck [3] Yen [4] Rus [5] Iseki [6].Fisher [7][8]Ray and Singh [9]Fisher [10]etc have also established results on common fixed point.

In this section we obtain some results on common fixed point.

II. MAIN RESULT

Theorem (1):- Let S, P, T are the three maps of a complete metric space (X,d) onto itself satisfied following conditions;

(I) $ST = TS, PT = TP, SX \subset TX$ and $PX \subset TX$

$$(II) d(Sx, Py) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Tx, Sx) d(Ty, Py)}{d(Tx, Py) + d(Ty, Sx) + d(Tx, Ty)} \\ + \beta \frac{d(Tx, Ty) [1 + \sqrt{d(Tx, Ty)d(Tx, Sx)} + \sqrt{d(Tx, Ty)d(Ty, Sx)}]}{[1 + d(Tx, Ty) + d(Tx, Sx) d(Tx, Py) d(Ty, Sx) d(Ty, Py)]} \\ + \gamma \frac{d(Ty, Py) [1 + \sqrt{d(Tx, Py) + d(Ty, Sx)}]}{[1 + \sqrt{d(Tx, Sx) + d(Ty, Py)}]}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ with $Tx \neq Ty$. Then S, P and T have a common fixed point $z \in X$ and z is a unique fixed point of S, P and T .

Proof : Let x_0 is any arbitrary point of a complete metric X since $SX \subset TX$ we can choose a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = Sx_0$ also $PX \subset TX$, we can choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Tx_2 = Px_1$. In general we can choose the point x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2} such that $Tx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$ and $Tx_{2n+2} = Px_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Now condition (II) we have

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) = d(Sx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) \\ \leq \alpha \frac{d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Tx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})}{d(Tx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})} \\ + \beta \frac{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) [1 + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})} + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}]}{[1 + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Tx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) d(Tx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})]} \\ + \gamma \frac{d(Tx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}) [1 + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}]}{[1 + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})}]} \\ \leq \alpha \frac{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})}{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+2}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})} \\ + \beta \frac{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) [1 + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})} + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}]}{[1 + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+2}) d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2})]} \\ + \gamma \frac{d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) [1 + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+2}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}]}{[1 + \sqrt{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2})}]} \\ \leq \alpha \frac{d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) [1 + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+2})]}{[d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+2})]} \\ + \beta d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + \gamma d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \\ \leq (\alpha + \beta) d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + \gamma d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2})$$

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \leq \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \right] d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) \leq \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \right] d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) &\leq \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \right] d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \right]^{2n+1} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \end{aligned}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+k}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k d(Tx_{n+i-1}, Tx_{n+i}) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} \right]^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, as X is complete, so it has a limit point since $\{Sx_{2n}\}$ and $\{Px_{2n+1}\}$ are subsequences of $\{Tx_n\}$, they will also converge to the same limit point $z \in X$.

Now next we prove the fixed point z is unique. Suppose $w (\neq z)$ be another fixed point, such that $Sz = Pz = Tz = z$ and $Sw = Pw = Tw = w$ then we have

$$d(z, w) = d(Sz, Pw)$$

$$d(z, w) = d(Tz, Pw)$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(Tz, Sz) d(Tw, Pw)}{d(Tz, Pw) + d(Tw, Sz) + d(Tz, Tw)}$$

$$+ \beta \frac{d(Tz, Tw) \left[1 + \sqrt{d(Tz, Tw) d(Tz, Sz)} + \sqrt{d(Tz, Tw) d(Tw, Sz)} \right]}{\left[1 + d(Tz, Tw) + d(Tz, Sz) d(Tz, Pw) d(Tw, Sz) d(Tw, Pw) \right]}$$

$$+ \gamma \frac{d(Tw, Pw) \left[1 + \sqrt{d(Tz, Pw) + d(Tw, Sz)} \right]}{\left[1 + \sqrt{d(Tz, Sz) + d(Tw, Pw)} \right]}$$

$$\leq \beta d(z, w)$$

$$< d(z, w) \text{ as } \beta < 1$$

Which is contradiction. Hence $z = w$.

III. CONCLUSION

Aim of this paper prove that fixed point theorems with new untractive condition involving three maps are discussed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kannan, R. : Some results on fixed point II, Amer. Math. Monthly 76(1969) 405-408
- [2] Gupta, V.K. and Shrivastav, P.: A note on common fixed points, Yokohama Math. Jour. 19(2) (1971) 91-95
- [3] Jungck, G. : Commuting mappings and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly 83(1972) 161-263.
- [4] Yen, C.L. : Remark on common fixed points Tamkang J.Math. 3(1972) 95-96
- [5] Rus, I.A : On common fixed point studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai 181(1973) 31-33
- [6] Iseki, K. : Common fixed point of mappings Tamkang Jour. Math. 6(2)(1975) 157-159
- [7] Fisher, B. : Results on common fixed points Math. Japonica 22(1977) 335-338.

- [8] Fisher, B. : Common fixed points mappings on complete and compact metric spaces Math. Japonica 22(5) (1978) 565-569
- [9] Ray, B.K. and Singh, S.P. : Remarks on some fixed point theorem II, Indian J. Pure appl. Math vol. 9(9) (1978) 881-885
- [10] Fisher, B. : Common fixed points of commuting mapping Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sincia 9(1981) 399-406