

Some Properties of the Strong Chain Equivalent Set and Strong Chain Recurrent Set

Xiaoting Li

Corresponding Author email: 18946764014@163.com

Abstract: In this paper, we present some properties of strong chain equivalent set and strong chain recurrent set with the continuous maps of a metric space into itself. Further we show the connection between chain recurrent point and strong chain recurrent point. Finally, we give an example to show this result.

Keywords: Chain Equivalent Set, Strong Chain Equivalent Set, Chain Recurrent Set, Strong Chain Recurrent Set.

I. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Denoted by $C^0(X)$ the set of all continuous maps from X to itself. Let $f \in C^0(X)$. Let Z_+ be the set of all positive integers and $N = \{0\} \cup Z_+$. Denoted by $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ the ball field of x , for some $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 1.1^[1] A point $y \in X$ is said to be a limit point of f if for some sequence of integers $n_i \rightarrow \infty$, $f^{n_i}(y) \rightarrow x$ holds. We define the limit set of a point x to be the set of all limit points of x . Recorded as $\omega(x, f)$

Definition 1.2^[1] For any $\varepsilon > 0$, if the sequence $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$, the sequence is called a ε chain from x_0 to x_n .

Definition 1.3^[1] $CR(x, \varepsilon, f) = \{y \in X : \text{there exist a } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } x \text{ to } y \text{ under } f\}$. It is evident by definition that $CR(x, \varepsilon_1, f) \subset CR(x, \varepsilon_2, f)$, when $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$.

Definition 1.4^[1] $S(x, f) = \{y \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, y \in CR(x, \varepsilon, f)\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} CR(x, \varepsilon, f)$;

$$S(x, f) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} CR(x, \frac{1}{k}, f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} CR(x, \frac{1}{k}, f)$$

Definition 1.5^[1] $CE(x, f) = \{y \in X, \text{there exist a } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } x \text{ to } y \text{ under } f. \text{ In addition to this, there exist a } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } y \text{ to } x \text{ under } f\} = \{y \in X, y \in S(x, f) \text{ and } x \in S(y, f)\}$; $CE(x, f)$ may be empty.

Definition 1.6^[1] For any $\varepsilon > 0$, if there exist a ε chain from x to itself under f , x is called a chain

recurrent point of f . We define the chain recurrent set of f to be the set of all chain recurrent points of f . Recorded as $CR(f)$.

Definition 1.7^[2] For any $\varepsilon > 0$, if the sequence $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} (n \in Z^+)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$, the sequence is called a strong ε chain from x_0 to x_n .

Definition 1.8 $SCR(x, \varepsilon, f) = \{y \in X : \text{there exist a strong } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } x \text{ to } y \text{ under } f\}$. It is evident by definition that $SCR(x, \varepsilon_1, f) \subset SCR(x, \varepsilon_2, f)$, when $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$.

Definition 1.9 $SS(x, f) = \{y \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, y \in SCR(x, \varepsilon, f)\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} SCR(x, \varepsilon, f)$.

Definition 1.10^[2] For any $\varepsilon > 0$, if there exist a strong ε chain from x to itself under f , x is called a strong chain recurrent point of f . We define the strong chain recurrent set of f to be the set of all strong chain recurrent points of f . Recorded as $SCR(f)$.

Definition 1.11 $SCE(x, f) = \{y \in X, \text{there exist a strong } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } x \text{ to } y \text{ under } f. \text{ In addition to this, there exist a strong } \varepsilon \text{ chain from } y \text{ to } x \text{ under } f\} = \{y \in X, y \in SS(x, f) \text{ and } x \in SS(y, f)\}$.

The orbit of x under f , the set of fixed points under f , the set of periodic points under will be denoted by $O(x, f)$, $F(f)$, $P(f)$, respectively.

II. SOME PROPERTIES OF STRONG CHAIN EQUIVALENT SETS

Proposition 2.1 If $f \in C^0(X)$, then the following properties are established:

- (1) $SCE(x, f)$ is invariant and closed;
- (2) $SCE(x, f) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow x \in SCR(f)$;
- (3) If $x \in SCR(f)$,

$$SCE(x, f|_{SCE(x, f)}) \subset SCE(x, f);$$

- (4) If $SCE(x, f) \neq \emptyset$, $\overline{Orb(x, f)} \subset SCE(x, f)$;

(5) For $\forall x$, if $y, z \in \omega(x, f)$, then $y \in SCE(z, f)$.

Proof: The proof of (1): Firstly, we prove $SCE(x, f)$ which is under f is an invariant set. It means we must get $f(SCE(x, f)) \subset SCE(x, f)$.

For any $y \in SCE(x, f)$, there exists a strong ε chain from x to y denoted $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m = y$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

the sequence $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m = y, x_{m+1} = f(y)$ can be made $\sum_{i=0}^m d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) + d(f(y), f(y)) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$ hold.

So $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m = y, x_{m+1} = f(y)$ is a strong ε chain from x to $f(y)$. Furthermore, we want to get a is the strong ε chain from $f(y)$ to x .

Because of $f \in C^0(X)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a $0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ so that $d(u, v) < \delta$ implies $d(f(u), f(v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Let $y_0 = y, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n = x$ be a strong δ ($\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$) chain from y to x . It means $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f(y_i), y_{i+1}) < \delta$,

We wish to show that $z_0 = f(y), z_1 = y_2, \dots, z_{n-1} = y_n = x$ is a strong ε chain.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} d(f(z_i), z_{i+1}) \\ & < d(f^2(y), y_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d(f(y_i), y_{i+1}) \\ & < d(f^2(y), f(y_1)) + d(f(y_1), y_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d(f(y_i), y_{i+1}) \\ & < d(f(y_1), y_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(f(y_i), y_{i+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \delta < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $z_0 = f(y), z_1 = y_2, \dots, z_{n-1} = y_n = x$ is the strong ε chain from $f(y)$ to x . It means that $f(y) \in SCE(x, f)$, if $y \in SCE(x, f)$. so that $SCE(x, f)$ under the f is invariant.

Next, we will prove the $SCE(x, f)$ is closed set as follow.

We prove $\overline{SCR(x, \varepsilon_1, f)} \subset SCR(x, \varepsilon_2, f)$ for any $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1$, for any $y \in$

$\overline{SCR(x, \varepsilon_1, f)}$, there exists $y' \in SCR(x, \varepsilon_1, f)$ which make $d(y, y') < \varepsilon$.

Because of $y' \in SCR(x, \varepsilon_1, f)$, there exists $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m = y'$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon_1$.

We consider the sequence $y_0 = x_0 = x, y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_{m-1} = x_{m-1}, y_m = y$. As

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f(y_i), y_{i+1}) \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) + d(f(x_{m-1}), y) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) + d(f(x_{m-1}), x_m) + d(y, y') \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) + d(y, y') \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon = \varepsilon_2, \end{aligned}$$

$y \in SCR(x, \varepsilon_2, f)$ holds. and

$$\begin{aligned} SS(x, f) & \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{SCR(x, \frac{1}{n}, f)} = \bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \overline{SCR(x, \frac{1}{n}, f)} \\ & \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} SCR(x, \frac{1}{n}, f) = SS(x, f) \text{ holds, so that} \end{aligned}$$

$SS(x, f)$ is a closed set. Coupled with $SCE(x, f) = SS(x, f) \cap SS(x, f^{-1})$, then

$SCE(x, f)$ is a closed set. Now (1) has been proved.

The proof of (2): Because $SCE(x, f) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a $y \in SCE(x, f)$. By definition 1.11, $y \in SS(x, f) \cap SS(x, f^{-1})$ holds. And then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists

one strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from x to y and another strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from y to x . Combine two chains together, we get a strong ε chain from x to x , then $x \in SCR(f)$. Then if

$x \in SCR(f)$, it exists the strong ε chain of x to x , so that $x \in SCE(x, f)$, then $SCE(x, f) \neq \emptyset$. The proof of (2) is end.

(3) is evident by definition.

The proof of (4): Because $SCE(x, f) \neq \emptyset$, there exists $y \in SCE(x, f)$. By definition 1.11, $y \in SS(x, f) \cap SS(x, f^{-1})$ holds. And then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists

one strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from x to y and another strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

chain from y to x . Combine two chains together, we get a strong ε chain from x to x , then $x \in SCR(f)$. Hence $x \in SCE(x, f)$. And $SCE(x, f)$ is invariant, $f(x) \in SCE(x, f)$ establish.

Further, $Orb(x, f) \subset SCE(x, f)$. In addition to $SCE(x, f)$ is closed, $\overline{Orb(x, f)} \subset SCE(x, f)$. Now, (4) has been proved.

The proof of (5): We just need to prove that $y \in SS(z, f)$. Because the position of y is equal to z , $y \in SS(z, f)$ implies $z \in SS(y, f)$. We can get $y \in SCE(z, f)$ further. Then (5) can be proof.

Because of $f \in C^0(X)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a $0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, so that $d(u, v) < \delta$ implies $d(f(u),$

$f(v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By definition of $z \in \omega(x, f)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f^k(x) \in B(z, \delta)$. Further $d(f(z), f^{k+1}(z)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By definition of $y \in \omega(x, f)$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ make $f^{k+l}(x) \in B(y, \delta)$ hold.

Consider $z_0 = z, z_1 = f^{k+1}(x), \dots, z_{l-1} = f^{k+l-1}(x), z_l = y$.

Because

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} d(f(z_i), z_{i+1}) = d(f(z), f^{k+1}(z)) + d(f^{k+l}(z), y)$$

$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$. It means that $y \in SS(z, f)$. Similar to the proof of $y \in SS(z, f)$, the proof of $z \in SS(y, f)$ is trivial. Then $y \in SCE(z, f)$.

Proposition 2.2 Let $f \in C^0(X), x \in SCR(f^n), n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, then

$$(1) SCE(f^n(x), f^n) = SCE(x, f^n);$$

$$(2) \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} SCE(f^i(x), f^n) \subset SCE(x, f);$$

(3)

$$f(SCE(f^i(x), f^n)) = SCE(f^{i+1}(x), f^n), (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Proof: Firstly, we should prove $x \in SCR(f)$. Because $x \in SCR(f^n), n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a strong ε chain from x to x under the f^n , marked as $x_{0n} = x, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{mn} = x$, which let $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f^n(x_{in}), x_{i+1n}) < \varepsilon$ hold.

For any $j = nk + i (i, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq k < m)$, let $x_j = f^i(x_{kn})$, so that for the chain of $x_{0n} = x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{mn} = x$, we can obtain that $\sum_{i=0}^{mn-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f^n(x_{in}), x_{i+1n}) < \varepsilon$. This is a strong ε chain from x to x under the f .

Hence, $x \in SCR(f)$, we can know $SCE(f^n(x), f^n)$ and $SCE(x, f^n)$ are not empty.

The proof of (1): let $f^n = g$, so we should prove $SCE(g(x), g) = SCE(x, g)$. In order to get that, we should prove $SCE(g(x), g) \subset SCE(x, g)$ and $SCE(x, g) \subset SCE(g(x), g)$.

We prove $SCE(g(x), g) \subset SCE(x, g)$ firstly. For any $y \in SCE(g(x), g)$, according to the definition of strong chain equivalent set, we can know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain $x_0 = g(x), x_1,$

$\dots, x_m = y$ from $g(x)$ to y , and a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain $y_0 = y, y_1, \dots, y_l = g(x)$ from y to $g(x)$. Due to $x \in SCR(g)$, then $x \in SCE(x, g)$. Because $SCE(x, g)$ is invariant, $g(x) \in SCE(x, g)$.

For the above ε , there exists a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from $g(x)$ to x , and we sign it as $z_0 = g(x), z_1, \dots,$

$z_m = x$. It can be easily proved that $x, x_0 = g(x), x_1, x_m = y$ is the strong ε chain of x to y , and $y_0 = y, y_1, \dots, y_l = g(x) = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m = x$ is the strong ε chain of y to x . Hence $y \in SCE(x, g)$. Then we can get $SCE(g(x), g) \subset SCE(x, g)$.

Next, we will prove $SCE(x, g) \subset SCE(g(x), g)$. Because f is uniformly continuous, we can know that g is uniformly continuous. Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there

exists $0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that $d(f(u), f(v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, if

$d(u, v) < \delta$. As $y \in SCE(x, g)$, for this δ , we can choose a strong δ chain from x to y denoted as $x_0 = g(x), x_1,$

$\dots, x_m = y$, and a strong δ chain from x to y denoted as $y_0 = y, y_1, \dots, y_l = x$. It is evident that $y_0 = y,$

$y_1, \dots, y_l = x, y_{l+1} = g(x)$ is the strong δ chain from y to $g(x)$. We will consider the $z_0 = g(x), z_1 = x_2, z_2 = x_3, \dots, z_{m-1} = x_m = y$ as follow. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} d(g(z_i), z_{i+1}) &= d(g^2(x), x_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} d(g(x_i), x_{i+1}) \\ &< d(g^2(x), g(x_1)) + d(g(x_1), x_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} d(g(x_i), x_{i+1}) \\ &< d(g^2(x), g(x_1)) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} d(g(x_i), x_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(g(x), x_1) < \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(g(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$, and g is uniformly continuous, that $d(g^2(x), g(x_1)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ holds.

Hence $\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} d(g(z_i), z_{i+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \delta < \varepsilon$. Then $z_0 =$

$g(x), z_1 = x_2, z_2 = x_3, \dots, z_{m-1} = x_m = y$ is a strong ε chain from $g(x)$ to y , so $y \in SCE(g(x), g)$, then $SCE(x, g) \subset SCE(g(x), g)$.

In conclusion, $SCE(g(x), g) = SCE(x, g)$. (1) has been proved.

The proof of (2): For any $y \in SCE(f^i(x), f^n)$, it is evident that $y \in SS(f^i(x), f^n)$. According to the definition we can know that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from $f^i(x)$ to y under the f^n , denoted as $x_{0n} = f^i(x), x_{1n}, \dots, x_{mn} = y$.

For any $j = nk + i$ ($i, k \in N, 0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq k < m$), let $x_j = f^i(x_{kn})$. Consider the chain $x_{0n} = x_0 = f^i(x), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{mn} = y$ and we can obtain that $\sum_{i=0}^{mn-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f^n(x_{in}), x_{i+1n}) < \varepsilon$.

Hence $y \in SS(f^i(x), f)$. According to the definition we can know $f^i(x) \in SS(x, f)$. Thus, $y_0 = x, y_1 = f(x), \dots, y_i = x_0 = f^i(x), y_{i+1} = x_1, \dots, y_{m+i} = x_{mn} = y$ is a strong ε chain from x to y , so that $y \in SS(f^i(x), f)$.

Since $y \in SCE(f^i(x), f^n)$, we can know that $f^i(x) \in SS(x, f)$. It means that there exists a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from y to $f^i(x)$ under f^n , recorded as

$y_{0n} = y, y_{1n}, \dots, y_{mn} = f^i(x)$, which satisfying the condition $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f^n(x_{in}), x_{i+1n}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Similarly, for any

$j = nk + i$ ($i, k \in N, 0 \leq i < n, 0 \leq k < m$), we let $y_j = f^i(y_{kn})$. Consider the chain $y_{0n} = y_0 = y, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_{1n}, \dots, y_{mn} = f^i(x)$ we can obtain that, $\sum_{i=0}^{mn-1} d(f(y_i), y_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(f^n(y_{in}), y_{i+1n}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Hence, $f^i(x) \in SS(y, f)$. As $x \in SCR(f)$ and $SCR(f)$ is invariant, we know $f^i(x) \in SCR(f)$, then $x \in SS(f^i(x), f)$. It means that there exists a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ chain from $f^i(x)$ to x under the f , signed as

$z_0 = f^i(x), z_1, \dots, z_i = x$. Therefore, $a_0 = y_{0n} = y_0 = y, a_1 = y_1, \dots, a_{n-1} = y_{n-1}, a_{1n} = y_{1n}, \dots, a_{mn} = y_{mn} = f^i(x) = z_0, \dots, a_{m+i-1} = z_i = x$ is a strong ε chain from y to x under f . It means $x \in SS(y, f)$. Further, $y \in SCE(x, f)$.

Hence $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} SCE(f^i(x), f^n) \subset SCE(x, f)$. (2) has been proved.

III. EXAMPLE

The example of $SCR(f) \neq CR(f)$ on the circle had been given by reference [2]. Now, we will give an example, which on the interval as follow:

Example 3.1: Let $I = [0, 4]$ and $f : I \rightarrow I$ is a separable continuous map, which been defined as

$$f = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x}, & x \in [0, 1) \\ x, & x \in [1, 2) \\ 2x - 2, & x \in [2, 3) \\ 16 - 4x, & x \in [3, 4) \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2: Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ as defined by example 4.1. Then $x \in CR(f)$ for each $x \in (0, 1)$.

Proof: Because $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ on the $(0, 1)$, so that $f^n(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2^n}}$. Because for any $x > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{\frac{1}{2^n}} = 1$.

It means that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in N_+, N > 0$ such that $|x^{\frac{1}{2^n}} - 1| < \varepsilon$, if $n > N$. Then

$x_0 = x, x_1 = f(x), x_2 = f^2(x), \dots, x_N = f^N(x)$
 $, x_{N+1} = f^{N+1}(x), x_{N+2} = 1$ is a ε chain from x to 1.

Let $M = [\frac{1}{\varepsilon}] + 1$, then $y_0 = 1, y_1 = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \dots,$
 $y_{2M} = 1 + 2([\frac{1}{\varepsilon}] + 1) * \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, y_{2M+1} = 2$ is a ε chain
from 1 to 2.

Because $\{\frac{1}{2^n}\}$ is a series which converges to 0. It
means that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_1 \in N_+$, such
that $\frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$, if $n > N_1$. Then $z_0 = 2, z_1 = 2 + \frac{1}{2^n},$
 $z_2 = f(z_1), \dots, z_n = f(z_{n-1}) = \frac{5}{2}, z_{n+1} = 3, z_{n+2} = 4,$
 $z_{n+3} = 0$ is a ε chain from 2 to 0.

When $x \in (0,1), \{x^{2^n}\}$ converges 0. It means that for
any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_2 \in N_+$, such that $x^{2^n} < \varepsilon$, if
 $n > N_2$. Then $h_0 = 0, h_1 = x^{2^n},$

$h_2 = f(h_1), \dots, h_n = f(h_{n-1}) = x^2, h_{n+1} = x$ is a ε
chain from 0 to x .

Overall, if we put these together, we can obtain a ε
chain from x to x , and according to the arbitrariness of ε ,
we can know that $x \in CR(f)$.

Proposition 3.3: Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ as defined by
example 4.1, hence for any $x \in (0,1)$, we can obtain
 $x \notin SCR(f)$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{x} - x)$, assume

$x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ is a strong ε_0 chain.

Claim: For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have
 $x < x_k < 1 + \varepsilon_0 < 2$.

Proof of the claim: If $k = 1$, we have

$$x_1 > f(x) - \varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{x} - x)$$

$$= \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{x} + \frac{1}{4}x > x$$

On the other hand. $x_1 < f(x) + \varepsilon_0 = 1 + \varepsilon_0 < 2$. Thus
we have $x < x_1 < 1 + \varepsilon_0 < 2$.

If for each $2 \leq i < k \leq n$, we have $x < x_i < 1 + \varepsilon_0 < 2$.

Hypothesis that $x_k \geq 1 + \varepsilon_0$. Since $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2,$
 \dots, x_n is a strong ε_0 chain, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon_0. \text{ If } x < x_{k-1} \leq 1, \text{ then}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) \geq d(f(x_{k-1}), x_k) \geq x_k - 1 > \varepsilon_0.$$

That is a contradiction. Thus $x_{k-1} > 1$. Let
 $t = \max\{i : 1 \leq i \leq k, x < x_{i-1} < 1\}$. Then $x < x_{t-1} < 1$
, $1 \leq x_i < 1 + \varepsilon_0$ for $t \leq i < k$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) \geq \sum_{i=t-1}^{k-1} d(f(x_i), x_{i+1})$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=t}^{k-1} |x_{i+1} - x_i| + x_t - 1$$

$$\geq \left| \sum_{i=t}^{k-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \right| + x_t - 1$$

$$= x_k - 1 > \varepsilon_0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $x_k < 1 + \varepsilon_0$.

On the other hand, we have.

$$x_k \geq f(x_{k-1}) - \varepsilon_0 > f(x) - \varepsilon_0 > x$$

The proof of claim is completed.

If $x \in SCR(f)$, then there exists a strong ε_0 -chain
 $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = x$. It follows by the Claim that
 $x < x$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we have
 $x \notin SCR(f)$.

IV. CONCLUSION

We study continuous maps of a metric space into itself.
We find some properties of strong chain equivalent set
with the maps. Further we give an example in interval to
show $SCR(f) \neq CR(f)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Project supported by NSFC(No: 11461002) and NSF of
Guangxi Education Department(No: 2013ZD061).

REFERENCES

- [1] Gongfu Liao, Lidong Wang, Qinjie Fan "Iterate and chaos dynamic system", Science publication, 1999.
- [2] Junling Zhao, "Strong chain recurrent set and shadowing properties", Mathematics Study, 37(3), 2004, 286-291.
- [3] Block L S, Coppel W A, "Dynamics in one dimension", New York: Springer-er-verlag, 1992.
- [4] Block L, Franke J E, "The chain recurrent set for maps of the interval", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 87(4), 1983, 723-727.
- [5] Taixiang Song, "Dynamics of tree map", Guangxi: Guangxi Science and Tech-nology Press, 2011.

- [6] Walters P, "On the pseudo orbit tracing property and its relationship to stability. In: The structure of attractors in dynamical systems", Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1978, 231-244.
- [7] Shimomura T. China recurrence and P.O.T.P, "In: Dynamical systems and singular phenomena", Singapore: World Sic. Publishing, 1987, 224-241.
- [8] Coven E M, Kan I, Yorke J A, "Pseudo-orbit shadowing in the family of tent maps. Trans", Amer .Math. Soc, 308, 1988, 227-241.
- [9] Pilyugin S Y, "Shadowing in dynamical systems", Berlin: Spring-Verlag, 1999.
- [10] Aoki N, "Topological dynamics", In: Topics in General Topology. Amsterdam: North Holland, 1989, 624-741.

AUTHOR'S PROFILE



Xiaoting Li

was born in 1989, at Shanxi province, China. She received a bachelor's degree of "Mathematics and Applied Mathematics" at Yulin Teachers College in 2012. Since 9/2012, she studies at Guangxi University for the master of basic mathematics. During this time, She is committed to research dynamical systems.

Her current research interests include some properties of the strong chain equivalent set and strong chain recurrent set.

email: 18946764014@163.com

Telephone numbers: +81-18376658189

Address: Guangxi University, Daxue Road 100, Nanning City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, 530004, China